

COURSE FEEDBACK 2 SEMESTER 20182019

Course : COL718

Slot : C

Total Student in course: 8

Feedback received from: 7

LECTURE COMPONENT

	Learning			Course Organization & Delivery						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Average(2.00)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Good(3.00)	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Very Good(4.00)	0	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	0	0
Excellent(5.00)	6	5	5	3	3	6	6	6	7	7
Rating	4.29	4.29	4.57	5.0	4.57	4.86	4.86	5.0	4.86	4.43

Course Organization & Delivery

- Q1: Did the course content met course objectives adequately?
- Q2: How clearly was the course plan presented?
- Q3: How effectively could the teacher communicate?
- Q4: How much did the teacher encourage independent thinking?
- Q5: How much did the teacher encourage logical thinking?
- Q6: How receptive was the teacher to questions inside and outside the classroom?
- Q7: How were the lectures organized in terms of clarity and presentation of concepts?

Learning

- Q8: How effective was the teacher(s) in keeping your interest alive in the course?
- Q9: How much did the course help you learn the subject?
- Q10: To what extent did the teacher(s) inspire you to pursue the subject area further?

	Exams & Evaluation				General Questions						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
Just Right(2.00)						7					
No Opinion(0.00)					2		1		1		
No(0.00)					2				1		
Yes(1.00)	7	7	7	7	3		6	7	5	7	7

Exams & Evaluation

Q1: Did the evaluation help you understand your mistakes?

Q2: Did the examination test understanding of the course?

Q3: Were the evaluated answer scripts shown to you?

Q4: Were the question papers of an appropriate length?

General Questions

Q5: Did the teacher take note of the mid-semester feedback?

Q6: How would you rate the work load of this course?

Q7: Was the attendance policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

Q8: Was the course evaluation policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

Q9: Was there a significant variation among the different lecture sections?

Q10: Were the lectures held on time?

Q11: Were the lectures held regularly?

Question : Any suggestions or comments about the course

- 1 Good subject and worth all the time and effort.
- 2 NA
- 3 None
- 4 Please keep teaching it. Very awesome course
- 5 Sir, Sometimes try to reduce speed because we sometimes require time to process things in our brain :p

- 6 Some of the lectures were not covered in adequate depth and some were given somewhat additional focus. The instructor hurried through some of the lectures at the end just to complete it. Maybe that is one of the issues he could take care of.
- 7 The course was very helpful for brushing up the basics of computer architecture and deep diving into the architecture, thrashing, issues with different implementations and on. Overall, more such subjects should be floated, it was very insightful :)

Question : What did you dislike most about this course?

- 1 A little more topics could be covered by not teaching basic os/architecture concepts.
- 2 If the limitation of time wasn't there in the semester, some more research topics and papers could have been covered.
- 3 NA
- 4 None
- 5 Nothing i can think of
- 6 The course syllabus deviated from the expected content.
- 7 The overall big-picture was somewhat not clear. I was not able to tie all the bells and whistles.

Question : What did you like the most about this course?

- 1 Excellent open-ended discussions in the classroom.
- 2 It Covered a lot of width in the field of high-performance computing. It provided the system-level understanding for high-performance systems.
- 3 Sourav Sir
- 4 The clarity of the content taught, and how concepts were built on top on previous ones.
- 5 The course was very practical and it was very much relatable with the architecture of computers on which we work and things we see all around, be it pipelining, parallelism, virtual memory, ABI and on.
- 6 The teaching effort of Sorav Sir and his patience towards handling all the questions.
- 7 Very nice content. Prof was very helpful and awesome. I learned so much from course. Thanks Sir